

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



PPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/624,361	0	7/22/2003	Jeffrey Powell	13860 B	9213
26637	7590	02/01/2006		EXAM	IINER
CNH AME		-	BATSON, VICTOR D		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT 700 STATE STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RACINE, WI 53404				3671	

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/624,361	POWELL ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Victor Batson	3671					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is not soft time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 No.	ovember 2005.						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	·						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 22,23 and 26-37 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 22,23 and 26-36 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 37 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9) <u> </u> 10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the e Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
·	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/624,361

Art Unit: 3671

Claim Objections

Claims 22,23,26-36 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 22 line 16, and claim 27 line 20, "said a first pivoting location" lacks proper antecedent basis. The examiner suggests amending claim 22 lines 16-17 and claim 27 lines 20-21 to read "location positioned laterally outwardly from said first pivoting location relative to an axis extending centrally and longitudinally through said mainframe along said draft direction". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clifford et al. (3,223,178) in view of Van Mill (5,590,721).

Clifford et al., discloses a device for tilling soil including a plurality of rotating discs of a pair of adjacent disc gangs 12, 14, pivotally connected to the mainframe with the disc gangs capable of gang angle adjustment that would allow disc gangs to be brought into alignment. Clifford et al., also discloses a main beam 138 and a disc support beam 44 located in front of said main beam relative to the forward draft direction of the implement. Clifford et al., however lacks including a plurality of plow shanks.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,361

Art Unit: 3671

Van Mill teaches that it is known in the art for a cultivating device that uses disc gangs to also include a plurality of plow shanks. The use of plow shanks with disc

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Clifford et al., by providing a plurality of plow shanks since such is a popular expedient that allows for operation in varying soil terrain.

gangs is a popular expedient that allows for operation in varying soil terrain (col. 1).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 22,23,26-36 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/18/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Van Mill patent does not disclose disc gangs capable of alignment at a common gang angle relative to the draft direction, with Clifford et al., used to correct the deficiency. The examiner disagrees, and notes that Clifford et al., is cited as the primary reference, with Van Mill used to correct the deficiency of Clifford et al., disclosing plow shanks. Additionally, it is the examiner's position that Clifford et al., does disclose a disc support beam located in front of the main beam as set forth in the rejection above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor Batson whose telephone number is (571) 272-6987. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,361 Page 4

Art Unit: 3671

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Will can be reached on (571) 272-6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

January 26, 2006

Victor Batson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3671

Icto Batan